

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 11/26/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/827,380	04/04/2001	Carl-Eric Ohlson	1166/61926-A	1166/61926-A 7391	
23432	7590 11/26/2003		EXAMINER		
COOPER & DUNHAM, LLP 1185 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS			HO, ALLEN C		
NEW YORK, NY 10036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
·			2882		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/827,380	OHLSON, CARL-ERIC	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Allen C. Ho	2882	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	p ars on the cover sheet with th	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 06 October 2003 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of App Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this app (1) a timely filed amendment wi	ication. A proper re	ply to a cation in
PERIOD FOR F	REPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin			
 b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f). 	than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date	of the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extra 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorten (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension and the corresponding amount of the ed statutory period for reply originally set in	ne fee. The appropriate ex in the final Office action: or	tension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellar 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 C			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered			
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require fun		(see NOTE below):	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note		(000 110 12 201011),	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or		aterially reducing or	simplifying the
(d) ⊠ they present additional claims without cand	eling a corresponding number of	f finally rejected clai	ms.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet	, ,	• •	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejo	ection(s): rejection based on 35	U.S.C. 112, first par	agraph.
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wou canceling the non-allowable claim(s).			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request application in condition for allowance because:	for reconsideration has been cor	nsidered but does No	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLEL	Y to issues which we	ere newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	s:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) ap	proved or b) disapproved by	y the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem	nent(s)(PTQ-1449) Paper No(s).	\sim	2

10. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>

EDWARD GUICK SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 009/827,380

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant presents a new claim, claim 25, which requires further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 10. Other: The proposed amendment failed to overcome the rejection based on 35 U.S.C. 251. The reissue declaration fails to identify an error that causes the original patent to be defective. See MPEP § 1414 (II).